

Contents

SA 200 “Overall Objectives of the Independent Auditor and the Conduct of an Audit in Accordance with Standards on Auditing”	4
SA 210 “Agreeing the Terms of Audit Engagements”	8
SA 220 Quality Control for an Audit of Financial Statements	10
SA 230 “Audit Documentation”	15
SA 240, “The Auditor’s Responsibilities relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements”	17
SA 250, “Consideration of Laws and Regulations in an Audit of Financial Statements”	28
SA 260, “Communication with Those Charge with Governance”	33
SA 265 “Communicating Deficiencies in Internal Control to Those Charged with Governance and Management”	34
SA 299 “Joint Audit of Financial Statements”	36
SA 300 “Planning an Audit of Financial Statements”	41
SA 315 “Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement through Understanding the Entity and its Environment”	45
SA 320 “Materiality in Planning and Performing an Audit”	56
SA 330 “The Auditor’s Responses to Assessed Risks”	60
SA 402 on “Audit Considerations Relating to an Entity Using a Service Organisation”	62
SA 450 “Evaluation of Misstatements identified during the Audit”	67
SA 500 “Audit Evidence”	69
SA 501 “Audit Evidence- Specific consideration for selected items”	74
SA 505 “External Confirmations”	78
SA 510 “Initial Audit Engagements – Opening Balances”	83
SA 520 ‘Analytical Procedures’	87
SA 530 “Audit Sampling”	92
SA 540 “Auditing Accounting Estimates, Including Fair Value Accounting Estimates, and Related Disclosure”	97
SA 550 “Related Parties”	100
SA 560 “Subsequent Events”	104
SA 570 Going Concern	108
SA 580 “Written Representations”	119
SA 600 “Using the Work of Another Auditor”	124
SA 610 “Using the Work of Internal Auditors”	130
SA 620 “Using the Work of an Auditor’s Expert”	134

SA 700 “Forming an Opinion and Reporting on Financial Statements”	139
SA 701: Communicating Key Audit Matters in the Independent Auditor’s Report	142
SA 705 “Modifications to the Opinion in the Independent Auditor’s Report”	144
SA 706 – Emphasis on Mater Paragraph and Other Mater Paragraph in Independent Auditor’s Report.....	152
SA 710 “Comparative Information - Corresponding Figures and Comparative Financial Statements”	154
SA 720 The Auditor’s Responsibility in Relation to Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements.....	156
PROFESSIONAL ETHICS.....	157
GENERAL.....	157
CHAPTER 5 of Council General Guidelines	163
CHAPTER 7 of Council General Guidelines	164
CHAPTER 8 of Council General Guidelines	165
CHAPTER 9 of Council General Guidelines	166
CHAPTER 10 of Council General Guidelines	167
SCHEDULE 1 – PART 1 – CLAUSE 2.....	168
SCHEDULE 1 – PART 1 – CLAUSE 4.....	171
SCHEDULE 1 – PART 1 – CLAUSE 6.....	174
SCHEDULE 1 – PART 1 – CLAUSE 7.....	187
SCHEDULE 1 – PART 1 – CLAUSE 8.....	191
SCHEDULE 1 – PART 1 – CLAUSE 9.....	195
SCHEDULE 1 – PART 1 – CLAUSE 10.....	198
SCHEDULE 1 – PART 1 – CLAUSE 11.....	201
SCHEDULE 1 – PART 1 – CLAUSE 12.....	207
SCHEDULE 1 – PART 2 – CLAUSE 2.....	211
SCHEDULE 1 – PART 3 – CLAUSE 2.....	212
SCHEDULE 1 – PART 4 – CLAUSE 2.....	214
SCHEDULE 2 – PART 1 – CLAUSE 1.....	217
SCHEDULE 2 – PART 1 – CLAUSE 2.....	219
SCHEDULE 2 – PART 1 – CLAUSE 3.....	221
SCHEDULE 2 – PART 1 – CLAUSE 4.....	223
SCHEDULE 2 – PART 1 – CLAUSE 5.....	225
SCHEDULE 2 – PART 1 – CLAUSE 7.....	228

SCHEDULE 2 – PART 1 – CLAUSE 8.....	231
SCHEDULE 2 – PART 1 – CLAUSE 10.....	232
SCHEDULE 2 – PART 2 – CLAUSE 1.....	235
SCHEDULE 2 – PART 2 – CLAUSE 3.....	238
Sec. 21 - Other Misconduct	239
Sec. 27 Branch office.....	243

SA 200 “Overall Objectives of the Independent Auditor and the Conduct of an Audit in Accordance with Standards on Auditing”

1. Write a short note on - Audit Risk.

Answer

Audit Risks: As per SA 200 “Overall Objectives of the Independent Auditor and the Conduct of an Audit in Accordance with Standards on Auditing”, audit risk is a function of the risks of material misstatement and detection risk. The assessment of risks is based on audit procedures to obtain information necessary for that purpose and evidence obtained throughout the audit. The assessment of risks is a matter of professional judgment, rather than a matter capable of precise measurement.

For purposes of the SAs, audit risk does not include the risk that the auditor might express an opinion that the financial statements are materially misstated when they are not. This risk is ordinarily insignificant. Further, audit risk is a technical term related to the process of auditing; it does not refer to the auditor’s business risks such as loss from litigation, adverse publicity, or other events arising in connection with the audit of financial statements.

Three components of audit risk are:

- **Inherent risk** (risk that material errors will occur);
- **Control risk** (risk that the client’s system of internal control will not prevent or correct such errors); and
- **Detection risk** (risk that any remaining material errors will not be detected by the auditor).

The nature of each of these types of risk and their interrelationship is discussed below:

Inherent risk - Inherent risk is higher for some assertions and related classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures than for others. For example, it may be higher for complex calculations or for accounts consisting of amounts derived from accounting estimates that are subject to significant estimation uncertainty. External circumstances giving rise to business risks may also influence inherent risk. For example, technological developments might make a particular product obsolete, thereby causing inventory to be more susceptible to overstatement. Factors in the entity and its environment that relate to several or all of the classes of transactions, account balances, or disclosures may also influence the inherent risk related to a specific assertion. Such factors may include, for example, a lack of sufficient working capital to continue operations or a declining industry characterised by a large number of business failures.

Control risk - Control risk is a function of the effectiveness of the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control by management to address identified risks that threaten the achievement of the entity’s objectives relevant to preparation of the entity’s financial statements. However, internal control, no matter how well designed and operated, can only

reduce, but not eliminate, risks of material misstatement in the financial statements, because of the inherent limitations of internal control. These include, for example, the possibility of human errors or mistakes, or of controls being circumvented by collusion or inappropriate management override. Accordingly, some control risk will always exist. The SAs provide the conditions under which the auditor is required to, or may choose to, test the operating effectiveness of controls in determining the nature, timing and extent of substantive procedures to be performed.

Detection risk - For a given level of audit risk, the acceptable level of detection risk bears an inverse relationship to the assessed risks of material misstatement at the assertion level. For example, the greater the risks of material misstatement the auditor believes exists, the less the detection risk that can be accepted and, accordingly, the more persuasive the audit evidence required by the auditor.

Detection risk relates to the nature, timing, and extent of the auditor's procedures that are determined by the auditor to reduce audit risk to an acceptably low level. It is therefore a function of the effectiveness of an audit procedure and of its application by the auditor. Matters such as:

- adequate planning;
- proper assignment of personnel to the engagement team;
- the application of professional skepticism; and
- supervision and review of the audit work performed,

assist to enhance the effectiveness of an audit procedure and of its application and reduce the possibility that an auditor might select an inappropriate audit procedure, misapply an appropriate audit procedure, or misinterpret the audit results.

2. Write a short note on Factors relevant in evaluation of Inherent Risk.

Answer

Relevant Factors in evaluation of inherent risk: While developing an overall audit plan, the auditor is required to assess inherent risk at financial statement level and is then required to relate his assessment to material account balances and the class of transactions. To assess inherent risk, the auditor would use professional judgement to evaluate numerous factors, having regard to his experience of the entity from previous audit engagements of the entity, any controls established by management to compensate for a high level of inherent risk, and his knowledge of any significant changes which might have taken place since his last assessment. Normally an auditor evaluates inherent risk by assessing factors such as integrity of the management, experience and knowledge of the management, turnover of key management personnel, circumstances which may motivate the management to misstate the financial statement when its financial performance is not satisfactory, nature of entity's business prone to rapid technological obsolescence dealing with large number of related parties, etc.

3. Describe how you would identify the inherent risk at the account balance and class of transaction level in the planning process of the audit of a large multi-locational company.

Answer:

Evaluating Inherent Risk: To assess inherent risk, the auditor would use professional judgment to evaluate numerous factors, having regard to his experience of the entity from previous audit engagements of the entity, any controls established by management to compensate for a high level of inherent risk, and his knowledge of any significant changes which might have taken place since his last assessment.

Inherent audit risk at the level of Account Balance and Class of Transactions is:

(i) Quality of the accounting system.

(ii) Financial statements are likely to be susceptible to misstatement, for example, accounts which required adjustment in the prior period or which involve a high degree of estimation.

(iii) The complexity of underlying transactions and other events which might require using the work of an expert.

(iv) The degree of judgement involved in determining account balances.

(v) Susceptibility of assets to loss or misappropriation, for example, assets which are highly desirable and movable such as cash.

(vi) The completion of unusual and complex transactions, particularly at or near period end.

(vii) Transactions not subjected to ordinary processing.

4. Compute the overall Audit Risk if looking to the nature of business there are chances that 40% bills of services provided would be defalcated, inquiring on the same matter management has assured that internal control can prevent such defalcation to 75%. At his part the Auditor assesses that the procedure he could apply in the remaining time to complete Audit gives him satisfaction level of detection of frauds & error to an extent of 60%. Analyse the Risk of Material Misstatement and find out the overall Audit Risk.

Ans:

According to SA-200, "Overall Objectives of the Independent Auditor and the Conduct of an Audit in Accordance with Standards on Auditing", the Audit Risk is a risk that Auditor will issue an inappropriate opinion while Financial Statements are materially misstated.

Audit Risk, has two components: Risk of material Misstatement and Detection Risk. The relationship can be defined as follows.

Risk of material Misstatement: - Risk of Material Misstatement is anticipated risk that a material Misstatement may exist in Financial Statement before start of the Audit. It has two components Inherent risk and Control risk. The relationship can be defined as

Inherent risk: it is a susceptibility of an assertion about account balance; class of transaction, disclosure towards misstatements which may be either individually or collectively with other Misstatement becomes material before considering any related internal control which is 40% in the given case.

Control risk: it is a risk that there may be chances of material Misstatement even if there is a control applied by the management and it has prevented defalcation to 75%.

Hence, control risk is 25% (100%-75%)

Risk of material Misstatement: Inherent risk X control risk i.e. $40\% \times 25\% = 10\%$

Chances of material Misstatement are reduced to 10% by the internal control applied by management.

Detection risk: It is a risk that a material Misstatement remained undetected even if all Audit procedures applied, Detection Risk is $100-60=40\%$

In the given case, overall Audit Risk can be reduced up to 4% as follows: Audit Risk: Risk of Material Misstatement X Detection Risk = $10\% \times 40\% = 4\%$

SA 210 “Agreeing the Terms of Audit Engagements”

5. **R & Co, a firm of Chartered Accountants have not revised the terms of engagements and obtained confirmation from the clients, for last 5 years despite changes in business and professional environment. Please elucidate the circumstances that may warrant the revision in terms of engagement.**

Answer:

As per SA 210 on “Agreeing the Terms of Audit Engagements”, the auditor may decide not to send a new audit engagement letter or other written agreement each period. However, the following factors may make it appropriate to revise the terms of the audit engagement or to remind the entity of existing terms:

- (i) Any indication that the entity misunderstands the objective and scope of the audit.
- (ii) Any revised or special terms of the audit engagement.
- (iii) A recent change of senior management.
- (iv) A significant change in ownership.
- (v) A significant change in nature or size of the entity’s business.
- (vi) A change in legal or regulatory requirements.
- (vii) A change in the financial reporting framework adopted in the preparation of the financial statements.
- (viii) A change in other reporting requirements.

6. **Mr. Ram Kapoor, Chartered Accountant, has been appointed as the statutory auditor by XYZ Private Limited for the audit of their financial statements for the year 2018 -19. The company has mentioned in the audit terms that they will not be able to provide internal audit reports to Mr. Ram during the course of audit. Further, company also imposed some limitation on scope of Mr. Ram.**

What are the preconditions Mr. Ram should ensure before accepting/ refusing the proposal? Also advise, whether Mr. Ram should accept the proposed audit engagement?

Ans:

As per SA 210 “Agreeing the Terms of Audit Engagements”, in order to establish whether the preconditions for an audit are present, the auditor shall:

- (a) Determine whether the financial reporting framework to be applied in the preparation of the financial statements is acceptable; and
- (b) Obtain the agreement of management that it acknowledges and understands its responsibility

(i) For the preparation of the financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework, including where relevant their fair presentation;

(ii) For such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error; and

(iii) To provide the auditor with:

a. Access to all information of which management is aware that is relevant to the preparation of the financial statements such as records, documentation and other matters;

b. Additional information that the auditor may request from management for the purpose of the audit; and

c. Unrestricted access to persons within the entity from whom the auditor determines it necessary to obtain audit evidence.

Further, if management or those charged with governance impose a limitation on the scope of the auditor's work in the terms of a proposed audit engagement such that the auditor believes the limitation will result in the auditor disclaiming an opinion on the financial statements, the auditor shall not accept such a limited engagement as an audit engagement, unless required by law or regulation to do so.

In addition if the preconditions for an audit are not present, the auditor shall discuss the matter with management. Unless required by law or regulation to do so, the auditor shall not accept the proposed audit engagement.

In the instant case, Mr. Ram should not accept the appointment as statutory auditor of XYZ Private Limited due to limitation imposed on his scope of work.

SA 220 Quality Control for an Audit of Financial Statements

7. M/s Sureshchandra & Co. has been appointed as an auditor of SC Ltd. for the financial year 2015-16. CA. Suresh, one of the partners of M/s Sureshchandra & Co., completed entire routine audit work by 29th May, 2016. Unfortunately, on the very next morning, while roving towards office of SC Ltd. to sign final audit report, he met with a road accident and died. CA. Chandra, another partner of M/s Sureshchandra & Co., therefore, signed the accounts of SC Ltd., without reviewing the work performed by CA. Suresh. State with reasons whether CA. Chandra is right in expressing an opinion on financial statements the audit of which is performed by another auditor

Answer:

Relying on Work Performed by Another Auditor: As per SA 220 “Quality Control for an Audit of Financial Statements”, an engagement partner taking over an audit during the engagement may apply the review procedures such as the work has been performed in accordance with professional standards and regulatory and legal requirements; significant matters have been raised for further consideration; appropriate consultations have taken place and the resulting conclusions have been documented and implemented; there is a need to revise the nature, timing and extent of work performed; the work performed supports the conclusions reached and is appropriately documented; the evidence obtained is sufficient and appropriate to support the auditor’s report; and the objectives of the engagement procedures have been achieved.

Further, one of the basic principles, which govern the auditor’s professional responsibilities and which should be complied with wherever an audit is carried, is that when the auditor delegates work to assistants or uses work performed by other auditor and experts, he will continue to be responsible for forming and expressing his opinion on the financial information. However, he will be entitled to rely on work performed by others, provided he exercises adequate skill and care and is not aware of any reason to believe that he should not have so relied. This is the fundamental principle which is ethically required as per Code of Ethics.

However, the auditor should carefully direct, supervise and review work delegated. He should obtain reasonable assurance that work performed by other auditors/experts and assistants is adequate for his purpose.

In the given case, all the auditing procedures before the moment of signing of final report have been performed by CA. Suresh. However, the report could not be signed by him due to his unfortunate death. Later on, CA. Chandra signed the report relying on the work performed by CA. Suresh. Here, CA. Chandra is allowed to sign the audit report, though, will be responsible for expressing the opinion. He may rely on the work performed by CA. Suresh provided he further exercises adequate skill and due care and review the work performed by him.

AKJ Ltd is a small-sized 30 years old company having business of manufacturing of pipes. Company has a plant based out of Dehradun and have their corporate office in Delhi. Recently the company appointed new firm of Chartered Accountants as their statutory auditors.

8. **The statutory auditors want to enter into an engagement letter with the company in respect of their services but the management has contended that since the statutory audit is mandated by law, engagement letter may not be required. Auditors did not agree to this and have shared a format of engagement letter with the management for their reference before getting that signed. In this respect management would like to understand that as per SA 210 (auditing standard referred to by the auditors), if the agreed terms of the engagement shall be recorded in an engagement letter or other suitable form of written agreement, what should be included in terms of agreed audit engagement letter?**

Ans:

As per SA 210 Agreeing the Terms of Audit Engagements The auditor shall agree the terms of the audit engagement with management or those charged with governance, as appropriate.

The agreed terms of the audit engagement shall be recorded in an audit engagement letter or other suitable form of written agreement and shall include:

- (i) The objective and scope of the audit of the financial statements;
- (ii) The responsibilities of the auditor;
- (iii) The responsibilities of management;
- (iv) Identification of the applicable financial reporting framework for the preparation of the financial statements; and
- (v) Reference to the expected form and content of any reports to be issued by the auditor and a statement that there may be circumstances in which a report may differ from its expected form and content.

9. **BSS & Associates is a partnership firm of Chartered Accountants which was established five years back. The firm was offering only advisory services at the beginning, however, after audit rotation and advent of GST, firm sees lot of potential in these areas also and started looking for opportunities in these areas also. These services being assurance in nature, the firm required some internal restructuring and set up some policies and procedures for compliance year on year.**

The firm started getting new clients for these new services and is now looking to obtain such information as it considers necessary in the circumstances before accepting an engagement with a new client, when deciding whether to continue an existing engagement, and when considering acceptance of a new engagement with an existing client. Where issues have been identified, and the firm decides to accept or continue the client relationship or a specific engagement, it has been setting up a process to document how the issues were resolved.

The firm is now looking to work with only select clients which are in line with the policies of the firm. The firm understands that the extent of knowledge it will have regarding the integrity of a client will grow within the context of an ongoing relationship with that client. With regard to the integrity of a client, you are required to give some examples of the matters to be considered by the firm as per the requirements given in SQC 1

Ans:

As per SQC 1, the firm should obtain such information as it considers necessary in the circumstances before accepting an engagement with a new client, when deciding whether to continue an existing engagement, and when considering acceptance of a new engagement with an existing client. Where issues have been identified, and the firm decides to accept or continue the client relationship or a specific engagement, it should document how the issues were resolved.

With regard to the integrity of a client, matters that the firm considers include, for example:

- The identity and business reputation of the client's principal owners, key management, related parties and those charged with its governance.
- The nature of the client's operations, including its business practices.
- Information concerning the attitude of the client's principal owners, key management and those charged with its governance towards such matters as aggressive interpretation of accounting standards and the internal control environment.
- Whether the client is aggressively concerned with maintaining the firm's fees as low as possible.
- Indications of an inappropriate limitation in the scope of work.
- Indications that the client might be involved in money laundering or other criminal activities.
- The reasons for the proposed appointment of the firm and non-reappointment of the previous firm.

10. The extent of knowledge a firm will have regarding the integrity of a client will generally grow within the context of an ongoing relationship with that client.

During the audit of FMP Ltd, a listed company, Engagement Partner (EP) completed his reviews and also ensured compliance with independence requirements that apply to the audit engagement. The engagement files were also reviewed by the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer (EQCR) except the independence assessment documentation. Engagement Partner was of the view that matters related to independence assessment are the responsibility of the Engagement Partner and not Engagement Quality Control Reviewer. Engagement Quality Control Reviewer objected to this and refused to sign off the documentation. Please advise as per SA 220.

Ans:

As per SA 220, Engagement Partner shall form a conclusion on compliance with independence requirements that apply to the audit engagement. In doing so, Engagement Partner shall:

- Obtain relevant information from the firm and, where applicable, network firms, to identify and evaluate circumstances and relationships that create threats to independence;
- Evaluate information on identified breaches, if any, of the firm's independence policies and procedures to determine whether they create a threat to independence for the audit engagement; and
- Take appropriate action to eliminate such threats or reduce them to an acceptable level by applying safeguards, or, if considered appropriate, to withdraw from the audit engagement, where withdrawal is permitted by law or regulation. The engagement partner shall promptly report to the firm any inability to resolve the matter for appropriate action. Engagement Partner shall take responsibility for reviews being performed in accordance with the firm's review policies and procedures.

As per SA 220, "Quality Control for Audit of Financial Statements", for audits of financial statements of listed entities, Engagement Quality Control Reviewer (EQCR), on performing an engagement quality control review, shall also consider the engagement team's evaluation of the firm's independence in relation to the audit engagement.

In the given case, Engagement Partner is not right. The independence assessment documentation should also be given to Engagement Quality Control Reviewer for his review.

- 11. You are an audit senior working for the firm Bohra & Company. You are currently carrying out the audit of Wisdom Ltd., a manufacturer of waste paper bins. You are unhappy with Wisdom Ltd.'s inventory valuation policy and have raised the issue several times with the audit manager. He has dealt with the client for a number of years and does not see what you are making an objection about. He has refused to meet you on site to discuss those issues.**

As the audit manager had dealt with Wisdom Ltd. for so many years, the other partners have decided to leave the audit of Wisdom Ltd. in his capable hands. Comment on the situation outlines above

Answer:

Quality Control Issues on an engagement: Several quality control issues are raised in the scenario:

Engagement Partner: An engagement partner is usually appointed to each audit engagement undertaken by the firm, to take responsibility for the engagement on behalf of the firm. Assigning the audit to an experienced audit manager is not sufficient.

The lack of an audit engagement partner also means that several of the requirements of SA 220 on "Quality Control for an Audit of Financial Statement", about ensuring that engagements in relation to independence and directing, supervising and reviewing the audit are not in place.

Conflicting Views: In this scenario the audit manager and senior have conflicting views about the valuation of inventory. This does not appear to have been handled well, with the manager refusing to discuss the issue with the senior.

SA 220 on “Quality Control for an Audit of Financial Statement”, requires that the audit engagement partner takes responsibility for settling disputes in accordance with the firm’s policy in respect of resolution of difference of opinion required by SQC 1 “Quality Control for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Historical Financial Information, and Other Assurance and Related Services Engagements”. In this case, the lack of engagement partner may have contributed to this failure to resolve the disputes. In any event, at best, the failure to resolve the difference of opinion is a breach of the firm’s policy under SQC 1. At worst, it indicates that the firm does not have a suitable policy concerning such disputes required by SQC.1 “Quality Control for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Historical Financial Information, and Other Assurance and Related Services Engagements”.

SA 230 “Audit Documentation”

12. Discuss the Auditor’s responsibility to provide access to his audit working papers to Regulators and third parties.

Answer:

Audit Working Paper: The auditor should not provide access to working papers to any third party without specific authority or unless there is a legal or professional duty to disclose. Clause (1) of Part I of Second Schedule to the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949 states that a Chartered Accountant in practice shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct if he discloses information acquired in the course of his professional engagement to any person other than his client, without the consent of his client or otherwise than as required by law for the time being in force. SA 200 on “Overall Objectives of the Independent Auditor and the conduct of an audit in accordance with Standards on Auditing” also reiterates that, “the auditor should respect the confidentiality of the information obtained and should not disclose any such information to any third party without specific authority or unless there is a legal or professional duty to disclose”.

If there is a request to provide access by the regulator based on the legal requirement, the same has to be complied with after informing the client about the same.

Further, Standard on Quality Control (SQC) 1, “Quality Control for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Historical Financial Information, and Other Assurance and Related Services Engagements”, provides that, unless otherwise specified by law or regulation, audit documentation is the property of the auditor. He may at his discretion, make portions of, or extracts from, audit documentation available to clients, provided such disclosure does not undermine the validity of the work performed, or, in the case of assurance engagements, the independence of the auditor or of his personnel.

As per SA 230, Audit documentation serves a number of additional purposes, including the enabling the conduct of external inspections in accordance with applicable legal, regulatory or other requirements.

Therefore, it is auditor’s responsibility to provide access to his audit working papers to Regulators whereas it’s at auditor’s discretion, to make portions of, or extract from his working paper to third parties.

13. Mr. A, a practising Chartered Accountant, has been appointed as an auditor of True Pvt. Ltd. What factors would influence the amount of working papers required to be maintained for the purpose of his audit?

Answer:

Factors Influencing the Amount of Working Papers: As per SA 230 “Audit Documentation”, which refers to the record of audit procedures performed, relevant audit evidence obtained

and conclusions the auditor reached, the amount of audit working papers depend on factors such as-

- (i) The size and complexity of the entity.
- (ii) The nature of the audit procedures to be performed.
- (iii) The identified risks of material misstatement.
- (iv) The significance of the audit evidence obtained.
- (v) The nature and extent of exceptions identified.
- (vi) The need to document a conclusion or the basis for a conclusion not readily determinable from the documentation of the work performed or audit evidence obtained.
- (vii) The audit methodology and tools used.
- (viii) Timely preparation of Audit Documentation.

SA 240, “The Auditor’s Responsibilities relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements”

- 14. While auditing accounts of a public limited company for the year ended 31st March 2015, an auditor found out an error in the valuation of inventory, which affects the financial statement materially. Comment as per standards on auditing.**

Answer:

Errors in Valuation of Inventories and Auditor’s Responsibilities:

SA 240, “The Auditor’s Responsibilities Relating Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements”, requires that if circumstances indicate the possible existence of fraud or error, the auditor should consider the potential effect of the suspected fraud or error on the financial information. If the auditor believes the suspected fraud or error could have a material effect on the financial information, he should perform such modified or additional procedures as he determines to be appropriate. SA 240 also requires that when the auditor identifies a misstatement, the auditor shall evaluate whether such a misstatement is indicative of fraud. If there is such an indication, the auditor shall evaluate the implications of the misstatement in relation to other aspects of the audit, particularly the reliability of management representations, recognizing that an instance of fraud is unlikely to be an isolated occurrence. Further, SA 320 Materiality in Planning and Performing an Audit, also requires that in such circumstances, the auditor should consider requesting the management to adjust the financial information or consider extending his audit procedures. If the management refuses to adjust the financial information and the results of extended audit procedures do not enable the auditor to conclude that the aggregate of uncorrected misstatements is not material, the auditor should express a qualified or adverse opinion, as appropriate. In the instant case, the auditor has detected the material errors affecting the financial statements; the auditor should communicate his findings to the management on a timely basis, consider the implications on true and fair view and also ensure that appropriate disclosures have been made.

- 15. Explain briefly duties and responsibilities of an auditor in case of material misstatement resulting from Management Fraud.**

Answer

Duties & Responsibilities of an auditor in case of Material misstatement resulting from management fraud: Misstatement in the financial statements can arise from fraud or error. The term fraud refers to an ‘Intentional Act’ by one or more individuals among management, those charged with governance, employees, or third parties, involving the use of deception to obtain an unjust or illegal advantage.

As per SA 240 “The Auditor’s Responsibilities Relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements”, the primary responsibility for the prevention and detection of fraud rests with both those charged with governance of the entity and management. The auditor, conducting

an audit, is responsible for obtaining reasonable assurance that the financial statements taken as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. Owing to the inherent limitations of an audit, there is an unavoidable risk that some material misstatements of the financial statements may not be detected, even though the audit is properly planned and performed in accordance with the SAs.

As described in SA 200, the potential effects of inherent limitations are particularly significant in the case of misstatement resulting from fraud. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than the risk of not detecting one resulting from error. This is because fraud may involve sophisticated and carefully organized schemes designed to conceal it, such as forgery, deliberate failure to record transactions, or intentional misrepresentations being made to the auditor. Such attempts at concealment may be even more difficult to detect when accompanied by collusion. Collusion may cause the auditor to believe that audit evidence is persuasive when it is, in fact, false. While the auditor may be able to identify potential opportunities for fraud to be perpetrated, it is difficult for the auditor to determine whether misstatements in judgment areas such as accounting estimates are caused by fraud or error.

Furthermore, the risk of the auditor not detecting a material misstatement resulting from management fraud is greater than for employee fraud, because management is frequently in a position to directly or indirectly manipulate accounting records, present fraudulent financial information or override control procedures designed to prevent similar frauds by other employees.

When obtaining reasonable assurance, the auditor is responsible for maintaining professional skepticism throughout the audit, considering the potential for management override of controls and recognizing the fact that audit procedures that are effective for detecting error may not be effective in detecting fraud.

Further, as per section 143(12) of the Companies Act, 2013, if an auditor of a company, in the course of the performance of his duties as auditor, has reason to believe that an offence involving fraud is being or has been committed against the company by officers or employees of the company, he shall immediately report the matter to the Central Government (in case amount of fraud is Rs. 1 crore or above) or Audit Committee or Board in other cases (in case the amount of fraud involved is less than Rs. 1 crore) within such time and in such manner as may be prescribed. The auditor is also required to report as per Clause (x) of Paragraph 3 of CARO, 2016, Whether any fraud by the company or any fraud on the company by its officers or employees has been noticed or reported during the year; If yes, the nature and the amount involved is to be indicated .

- 16. While conducting statutory Audit of ABC Ltd., you come across I Owe you amounting to Rs. 2 crores as against a cash balance shown in books of Rs. 2.10 crores. You also observe that despite similar high balances throughout the year, small amounts of Rs. 50,000 are withdrawn from the bank to meet day-to-day expenses**

Answer:

Material Misstatements: According to SA 240, “The Auditor’s Responsibilities Relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements” when the auditor comes across such circumstances indicating the possible misstatements resulting from the fraud then the auditor needs to consider the impact of fraud on financial statements and its disclosure in the audit report. In this case, the circumstances indicate that the possible misstatement in financial statements is due to fraud and error and the auditor must investigate further to consider effect on financial statements.

The Guidance Note on Audit of Cash and Bank balances also mentions that if the entity is maintaining an unduly large balance of cash, he should carry out surprise verification of cash more frequently to ascertain whether it agrees. If cash in hand is not in agreement with the book balance, he should seek explanations and if the same are not satisfactory should state the said fact appropriately in his Audit Report.

- 17. The Managing Director of the Company has committed a “Teeming and Lading” Fraud. The amount involved has been however subsequently after the year end deposited in the company. As a Statutory Auditor, how would you deal?**

Answer

Fraud Committed by Managing Director: The Managing Director of the company has committed a “Teeming and Lading” fraud. The fact that the amount involved has been subsequently deposited after the year end is not important because the auditor is required to perform his responsibilities as laid down in SA 240, “The Auditor’s responsibilities relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements”. First of all, as per SA 240, the auditor needs to perform procedures whether the financial statements are materially misstated. Because an instance of fraud cannot be considered as an isolated occurrence and it becomes important for the auditor to perform audit procedures and revise the audit risk assessment. Secondly, the auditor needs to consider the impact of fraud on financial statements and its disclosure in the audit report. Thirdly, the auditor should communicate the matter to the Chairman and Board of Directors. Finally, in view of the fact that the fraud has been committed at the highest level of management, it affects the reliability of audit evidence previously obtained since there is a genuine doubt about representations of management.

Further, as per section 143(12) of the Companies Act, 2013, if an auditor of a company, in the course of the performance of his duties as auditor, has reason to believe that an offence involving fraud is being or has been committed against the company by officers or employees of the company, he shall immediately report the matter to the Central Government (in case amount of fraud is Rs. 1 crore or above) or Audit Committee or Board in other cases (in case the amount of fraud involved is less than Rs. 1 crore) within such time and in such manner as may be prescribed. The auditor is also required to report as per Clause (x) of Paragraph 3 of CARO, 2016, Whether any fraud by the company or any fraud on the company by its officers or employees has been noticed or reported during the year; If yes, the nature and the amount involved is to be indicated.

18. You are appointed as an auditor of Global Ltd. Explain the risk factors relating to misstatements arising from misappropriation of assets.

Answer:

Risk Factors Relating to Misstatements Arising from Misappropriation of Assets: As per SA 240, "The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Fraud in audit of Financial Statements", misappropriation of assets involves the theft of an entity's assets and is often perpetrated by employees in relatively small and immaterial amounts. However, it can also involve management who are usually more able to disguise or conceal misappropriations in ways that are difficult to detect.

Risk factors that relate to misstatements arising from misappropriation of assets are classified according to the three conditions generally present when fraud exists: incentives/pressures, opportunities, and attitudes/rationalization. The following are examples of risk factors related to misstatements arising from misappropriation of assets:

Incentives/Pressures: Personal financial obligations may create pressure on management or employees with access to cash or other assets susceptible to theft to misappropriate those assets.

Adverse relationships between the entity and employees with access to cash or other assets susceptible to theft may motivate those employees to misappropriate those assets. For example, **adverse relationships may be created by the following:**

1. Known or anticipated future employee layoffs.
2. Recent or anticipated changes to employee compensation or benefit plans.
3. Promotions, compensation or other rewards inconsistent with expectations.

Opportunities: Certain characteristics or circumstances may increase the susceptibility of assets to misappropriation. For example, opportunities to misappropriate assets increase when there are the following:

- ⊗ Inventory items that are small in size, of high value, or in high demand.
- ⊗ Fixed assets which are small in size, marketable, or lacking observable identification of ownership.
- ⊗ Inadequate internal control over assets may increase the susceptibility of misappropriation of those assets.
- ⊗ Inadequate segregation of duties or independent checks. Attitudes/Rationalizations

19. In the books of accounts of M/s OPQ Ltd. huge differences are noticed between the control accounts and subsidiary records. The Chief Accountant informs that this is common due to huge volume of business done by the company during the year. As a Statutory Auditor, how would you deal?